Prenuptial Agreement Waivers

By K. Dean Kantaras and Jennifer H. Cavill

ntil 2015, jurisdictions were divided about whether broad or specific language was required to waive rights in a prenuptial agreement. For example, funds earned during the marriage are considered marital funds, regardless of who earned the funds. Likewise, any asset purchased or enhanced with those marital funds, regardless of whether the asset was acquired prior to the marriage, becomes a marital asset, or at least has a marital component to which the other spouse is entitled. If it is a party's intention to keep his or her funds earned during the marriage as his or her separate, non-marital property, then, prior to 2015, a prenuptial agreement must expressly state that the other spouse is waiving his or her right to funds earned by the other spouse during the marriage. If an agreement did not include such a specific waiver, then the funds were marital, and assets acquired or enhanced by those funds have a marital component. See the 2nd DCA case of <u>Irwin v. Irwin</u>, 857 So.2d 247 (Fla. 2nd DCA 2003).

Similarly, an asset's enhanced value due to a party's marital labor or marital effort results in that enhanced value having a marital component to which both parties are entitled. Much like with funds earned during the marriage, prior to 2015, if it is a party's intention to keep an asset's enhanced value, due to marital effort or marital labor, as a party's separate, non-marital asset, then a prenuptial agreement must specifically state so. See Valdes v. Valdes, 894 So.2d 264 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2003).

In 2014, the 4th DCA decided the case

In 2014, the 4^{III} DCA decided the case of Hahamovitch v. Hahamovitch, 133 So.3d 1008 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014) where the parties' prenuptial agreement included a general release where the Wife waived any right to any of the Husband's property, including her right to any kind of alimony, support and maintenance, equitable distribution, division of



K. Dean Kantaras, Esq.

property, special equities, attorney's fees, or any other rights regarding financial issues. The agreement also provided that each party would solely own his or her property acquired prior to or during the marriage, that neither party will make a claim against the other party's property owned as of the date of marriage, and that if property is acquired during the marriage by one party and titled in that party's individual name, then the property is solely owned by that party. However, there were no specific waivers of rights to funds acquired during the marriage due to marital effort or marital labor or specific waivers of rights to enhancement in value.

Despite this, the 4th DCA found that "under the plain language of the prenuptial agreement, the wife waived and released claims to property or assets owned by the husband at the time of the agreement, or acquired in his own name thereafter, including any enhancement

in the value of such property. The language of the agreement was broad enough to waive the wife's right to any asset titled in the husband's name that was acquired during the marriage or that appreciated in value due to marital income or efforts during the marriage." Furthermore, the Court held that when reading the provisions of the agreement together, it is sufficient to waive future enhancement of non-marital property, even if it is due to marital earnings or labor. This case was then affirmed by the Florida Supreme Court in Hahamovitch v. Hahamovitch, 174 So. 3d 983, 984 (Fla. 2015). Accordingly, broad general waivers may be sufficient where specific waivers were once required. For more information on prenuptial agreements, contact K. Dean Kantaras, P.A. 727-781-0000.

EDITOR'S NOTE: K. Dean Kantaras has been licensed to practice law in Florida for over nineteen years. Mr. Kantaras is the managing partner of K. Dean Kantaras, P.A., a firm handling cases in family law and immigration. Mr. Kantaras is board certified in marital and family law by the Florida Bar, a distinction held by less than one percent of all attorneys licensed to practice in Florida. He is "A" rated by Martindale-Hubbell, the highest possible rating. He is a member of the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit and Middle District, The Florida Bar, and the Clearwater Bar Association. His offices are located at 3531 Alternate 19, Palm Harbor, 34683, (727) 781-0000 and 1930 East Bay Drive, Largo, 33771, (727) 544-0000. www.Kantaraslaw.com. Jennifer Cavill, Esq. is an Associate Attorney at the firm. She is a member of the Florida Bar, the United States District Court-Middle District of Florida, Clearwater Bar and St. Petersburg Bar Associations and Canakaris Inn of Court.